

## **Objectors Emails as of 23/08/2012**

### **Objector 1**

From:]  
Sent: 01 August 2018 00:05  
To: Licensing Mailbox  
Subject: Licensing application .....Griffin InnPublic House ...Plumtree

Re the above application...

Dear Sirs

We write with regard to the above licensing application.

Whilst we are pleased to be having the amenity of a public house once again in the village we are concerned at the potential level of noise against the quiet background of a residential area during the late evening and early hours of the morning ie musical activities and noise generated by customers leaving the premises after 11pm.

We object strongly to these potential effects this could have on residents and would suggest some restrictions are set out to control any likelihood of any nuisance arising during these times.

Yours sincerely

Sent from my iPad

Dear Mr & Mrs

Thank you for your email I have read your submission but do not find it is evidenced that this premises will be a public nuisance, the environmental health officers have placed measures that will go on the property to minimise noise but the number of late events is restricted by the application to 20 events per year.

I hope this is enough information for you in respect of the application but you have not evidenced enough for an objection to be lodged.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris MBII  
Senior licensing Officer

### **Objector 2**

-----Original Message-----

From:]

Sent: 31 July 2018 16:21

To: Licensing Mailbox

Subject: Griffin Inn, Plumtree, Notts..

Dear Sirs,

My wife and I would like to object to the application by the owners of the Griffin to have late night opening on every night with a much later time on Fridays, Saturdays and Bank Holidays.

We are all for the refurbishment of the Griffin as a public house and restaurant and its opening until 11pm in the evening, but against any extension to this time.

The additional parking of vehicles with the noise of engines starting and door slamming will in our opinion create a public nuisance. We are both in our 80's, have always slept with the windows open, even in the winter and know that some parking will take place at the bottom of Church Hill adjacent to our cottage and we will also be able to hear vehicles in the proposed Griffin car park..

I only managed to read the notice with difficulty as the builders have been parking on the pavement in front of the notice, but we suppose that having a notice is sufficient.

Please accept this objection on the grounds of being a public nuisance.

yours faithfully

Dear Mr

On checking my emails I believe I have omitted to reply to you in respect of your objection, I have accepted your objection and it will be heard on the 2nd October 2018 at the Arena Centre on Rugby Road, West Bridgford.

I will state that we are obliged to supply your details to the applicant, the panel and officers prior to any hearing only the objectors will have a redacted copy of the agenda.

If we can assist you in any way please do not hesitate to contact us.

Peter Harris MBII  
Senior licensing Officer

**Objector 3**

**From:**

**Sent:** 01 August 2018 10:09

**To:** Licensing Mailbox

**Subject:** Licence application for the Griffin, Plumtree

Dear Sir/Madam

We heard only yesterday via a neighbour of the application on behalf of the Griffin P.H. for a late night licence.

Last evening I went to read the notice posted inside the side window of the pub and was appalled at what is being asked for by the owner. We have had no other information about this application so it has come as a shock to us. Further no information has been received by either the Parish Council or the P.C.C.

We wish to object very strongly to the application for Late Night opening of the Griffin. We live only 100 metres from the Griffin and can see the pub and car park clearly from our bedroom window. Therefore we can hear noise from any band or group of noisy customers at the pub. This is our first ground for objection.

Secondly there is likely to be a problem with parking of cars, taxis etc. ,especially since much of the old car park has been taken to build two large houses. Cars parked for a long time, like over two hours, will cause severe congestion on Church Hill. We know the problems first hand from when cars are parked there when using the Plumtree School. At night the problem is worse owing to poorer visibility. Also there is the probability of people walking around the village having been drinking who could possibly be noisy. This objection is on the grounds of preventing a public nuisance.

Finally on a personal note may I say that many people in the village are looking forward to seeing our pub open again. It has been closed for too long, but we do not want it spoiling the peace and tranquillity of our village late into the evening.

Yours sincerely

Plumtree

On checking my emails I believe I have omitted to reply to you in respect of your objection, I have accepted your objection and it will be heard on the 2nd October 2018 at the Arena Centre on Rugby Road, West Bridgford.

I will state that we are obliged to supply your details to the applicant, the panel and officers prior to any hearing only the objectors will have a redacted copy of the agenda.

If we can assist you in any way please do not hesitate to contact us.

**Objector 4**

From:

Sent: 30 July 2018 20:30  
To: Licensing Mailbox  
Subject: The Griffin, Plumtree

Dear Sir

I wish to object to the application for late night music at the weekends at The Griffin in Plumtree. The Griffin is right in the centre of a very small village, and has a number of houses within a very few yards.

By way of example, I wish to point out that noise from the cricket field, which is further away from the whole village than the pub is, can be clearly heard by every household in the village. The proposed application will cause much louder noise than this, much closer, and very late at night. It is inevitable that every house in the village will be affected. In addition, there will be the noise and disruption of cars and voices.

It is inappropriate to allow late night music in the centre of a tiny rural village. This is a village pub, not a city centre venue. I feel that it will alter the character of the village. The Griffin has previously been viable without late music and late opening. Other local pubs, such as The Plough in Normanton-on-the-Wolds, are extremely successful without it.

I feel that the villagers are not being treated fairly by the council in this matter. It is unfair for an entire village to be inconvenienced, just to create profit for one individual.

I also wish to complain about the displaying of the application notice. It was placed so that it would be unnoticed by anyone who did not happen to walk right up to what is effectively a building site and look in at the window. There is a village noticeboard within fewer than fifteen yards. I feel that this has been a deliberate attempt to avoid the neighbours' noticing it.

Yours faithfully

Dear

Thank you for your email in objection to the application to the Griffin, the licensing act 2003 allows me to make decisions on how appropriate objections are against an application, I accept that you wish to object under public nuisance one of the 4 licensing objectives.

I am not sure if you have seen the application but it is being classed as a food led pub, with the ability to carry out 20 occasions a year to go from 23.00hrs to 01.00hrs.

Although I have accepted you objection before we hold a meeting of the licensing panel we can negotiate conditions with the operator that can place restrictions of use or control measures to make the outbreak of noise less frequent. I would ask that you think if you wish to ask for a condition/s to control the outbreak of noise and communicate them to me by Thursday if at all possible.

If you could also supply me with you home address and telephone number I would be most grateful, If a hearing is held you will be required to attend or an agent of yours but they can only put your point of view as raised in your email.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris  
Senior Licensing Officer

**Objector 5**

Dear Sirs

My husband and I reside at XXXXXXXXXXX, XXXXXXXX Road, Plumtree which is across the road from the Griffin Inn. We were very surprised to learn today of the application to extend the opening hours of the pub/restaurant. Hours 1000 to 0000hrs Sun-Thurs & to 0100hours Fri, Sat, PH Sunday, New Year and for events. And that written representations are to be placed on or before 2nd August 2018. This has come as a complete surprise, and to many in the village and I question that adequate notification has taken place. I approached the property to look for the notice. In recent months building work has resulted in vans parked in front of the property, and indeed at present the pavement nearby is restricted by bollards so pedestrian access has been limited, and many avoiding that side of the road, leading to the notice being of limited accessibility.

We object to the licensing firstly on the grounds of Prevention of Public Nuisance. Plumtree is a small, quiet residential village. The Griffin Inn is located in the very centre of the village, so it's impact very relevant. Late night noise would be acutely heard. From living here over 30 years, we know from experience how the acoustics, we think enhanced by the railway embankment which has the effect of amplifying sound back towards the village. Sounds from the cricket club, pleasant sounds of bat and ball and cheers, and music are clearly heard over the opposite side of the Main Road. These are daytime sounds usually muffled by general activity. Night time noise is quite invasive. Noise and music and people arriving and departing from the pub would be more central to the village, similarly amplified as well as more frequent, louder and of longer duration leading to considerable nuisance.

On the point of Public Safety,

The Griffin car park has been substantially reduced and its adequacy and highway and parking issues was the subject of much questioning. Extended opening would draw even more people to the pub / restaurant. Limited parking, with the car park entrance and exit very close to the crossroads, on street parking difficulties to avoid same crossroads, a narrow road with substantial traffic, numerous driveways to homes creates hazards. Pavements in the village are narrow. Pedestrians would be at risk.

Public transport would not be available from the area of the Griffin Inn on a regular basis, resulting in loitering and additional noise.

Please acknowledge our submission

Yours faithfully

On 3 Aug 2018, at 08:44, Peter Harris <[PHarris@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:PHarris@rushcliffe.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear all

Sorry I should have stated a deadline for your replies to the premises applicant letter, that date will be the 8<sup>th</sup> of August 2018 by the close of council business 5pm any replies after that date may not be included in any papers to a panel hearing.

Peter Harris MBII

Senior Licensing Officer

**Objector 6**

**From:]**

**Sent:** 31 July 2018 20:56

**To:** Licensing Mailbox

**Subject:** Comment on Licensing Application number 020967

Dear Sir,

I wish to comment on a licensing application for The Griffin Inn, Main Road, Plumtree (Application number [020967](#)). I have just been informed by a local resident that The Griffin has applied for permission to be open, selling alcohol, until 1:00 am every Friday and Saturday night.

This application has not been made apparent to the residents of the village – other than by a notice in the pub window. The pub is currently under reconstruction and few people would see a notice in a dusty pub window (especially one sited behind a builder's van).

The times applied for are not shown on your website at <https://licensing.rushcliffe.gov.uk/PAforLalpacLIVE/1/LicensingActPremise/Search/190/26118>, however I am given to understand that the application is for the sale of alcohol until 1:00 am on Friday and Saturday and that the expiry date for comments is imminent. Having just seen the undated notice, this is correct and applications must be received on or before 2 August 2018.

I wish to **object** to the application for extended licensing hours on a regular basis. Whilst I welcome the reopening of the pub, Plumtree is a small village; the bus service is limited after 10 pm and any extension to licensing hours will cause additional noise and disruption for the residents. The reduction of the size of the pub's car park, due to building houses in the grounds, will mean parking on the main road and/or a large number of taxis drawing up in the small hours, both contributing to the noise.

I am happy for extended licensing to be available for **special** occasions, but not on a regular basis. The noise in the village is already a problem from Cricket Club events and the amount of traffic on the road through the village to and from Keyworth.

Regards,

, Plumtree NG12

Dear Mrs

Thank you for your objection against the Griffin public house, The premises has advertised the hours for the last 28 days on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of August so I am surprised that the village has not been aware of the change as it was fought on planning as well, the application does not state the late hours will be every Friday and Saturday night but 20 occasions a year. My officers have visited the premises and the notices have been displayed in the proper manner and readable. I do apologise in respect of the web site that is a matter we are looking in to but that is not a legal requirement in the act.

Your objection must be relevant to the four licensing objectives in the licensing Act 2003

My reading of your objection letter is supportive of a pub as applied for but this matter has not been communicated to you and we would be happy to talk to you about the application, the letter I will use as a reference but it does not show me that the premises are going to be an issue for you under public nuisance.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris MBII

Senior Licensing Officer

## **Objector 7**

: >

**Sent:** 02 August 2018 17:04

**To:** Licensing Mailbox <[Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk)>

**Cc:** >

**Subject:** The Griffin, Plumtree



Dear Sir/Madam, it has just come to our notice that the owners of the Griffin public house are applying for a licence to serve drinks until midnight every day, and until 01.00 on certain other days. As residents of Plumtree, we think this is wholly inappropriate to the village, which is a quiet residential area, and we believe the noise produced by entertainment, car doors slamming, boisterous clientele etc will be a public nuisance.

The bus service is very limited at night (which raises issues about the encouragement of drink-driving), and the Griffin's car park has been reduced in size, as part of it was sold off to build houses, which will inevitably mean cars are parked around the streets bringing disturbance to a wider area.

We would encourage you to reject this application, to prevent public nuisance.  
Yours faithfully

Plumtree

**From:]**

**Sent:** 03 August 2018 09:14

**To:** Peter Harris

**Subject:** RE: Griffin public house

Dear Mr Harris, thank you for your email. Would it be possible to send me the salient terms of the licensing application? I am currently relying on hearsay as there has not been any communication from the applicant or any council authority.

Kind regards

Dear Mr

You can view the application by appointment at the Rushcliffe customer contact centre, the communication required by law is displayed on the premises along with the details of how to view it.

The letters are a direct drop of the conditions proposed by the relevant authorities.

Peter Harris MBII

Senior Licensing Officer

## **Objector 8**

Dear Sirs,

I would like to object to the proposed licensing hours for the Griffin Inn Plumtree. The current proposal for licensing until 1am on Fridays, Saturdays and public holidays is unreasonable and will cause a public nuisance to local residents.

Plumtree is a quiet village setting and although I am in full support of the Griffin Inn as a local bistro pub, I strongly feel it will be a public nuisance having a late licence with live bands and DJ's until

1am due to the noise of people outside leaving the premises having been drinking , with taxis and car doors slamming shut. There are very few car park spaces for the Griffin so people will be parking on Church Hill and the main road where the noise at 1am will be a public nuisance for local residents.

Yours sincerely  
Plumtree  
Nottingham  
NG 12

Mr Harris

I'm sorry you chose to reject my first objection on the grounds that I expect noise but have not evidenced it.

As I said before Plumtree is a quiet village and I have lived here 20 years. I live in the vicinity of the Griffin Inn and can hear sounds from the cricket ground cheering ;even the sound of balls being hit carries, we can also hear the construction work going on at the Griffin site currently. Night time noise is more invasive and a public nuisance.

As I stated in my first objection loud music from live bands or DJs and noise from people coming and going from the pub in the early hours will cause public nuisance.

Yours sincerely  
Plumtree  
Sent from my iPad

Dear Mr Harris

Thank you for your email. After careful consideration I would like to confirm I wish to continue with my objection to the proposed licensing hours for the Griffin in Plumtree.

In addition I would like to point out that I think it breaks data protection laws to share my email address with other residents who I presume have also raised an objection.

Yours sincerely

Lynne Bylina

On 3 Aug 2018, at 08:26, Peter Harris <[PHarris@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:PHarris@rushcliffe.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam

I have been asked by the applicant of the Griffin to circulate a letter in respect to the agreed conditions as asked for by the responsible authorities (Police, Environmental Health)

This letter is to assist you in your decision making as to whether you wish to continue with your objection or not, if you feel that do not wish to continue with your objection to this application I would ask that **YOU EMAIL ME** asap.

If you are still mindful to carry on with your objection the meeting will be held on the 12<sup>th</sup> of September 2018 at the Arena Offices, Objectors who's representation has been accepted will be notified by post or email any documents and agenda of any panel meeting.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris MBII

Senior Licensing Officer

Rushcliffe Borough Council

## **Objector 9**

From: >

Sent: 01 August 2018 20:57

To: Licensing Mailbox <[Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk)>

Subject: Griffin plumtree

I have lived in plumtree on church hill for three years and welcome the opening of the Griffin pub.

My partner and I would like to object to:

- 1) Week day and weekend extended liquor licence application.
- 2) Extended licence for 20 events a year.

We feel 11pm is adequate for a village pub.

We would like to object under the prevention of public nuisance Category. We are concerned that cars parking on church hill will cause high noise levels late at night. My children sleep on the ground floor adjacent to the road and later opening hours will encourage drunks/boisterous behaviour/slamming of car doors and greater noise levels. Extended hours significantly increases the risk of awaking my children and is of great concern to me. Also, I am concerned about an increase in crime due to more drunk and disorderly behaviour.

I hope you understand our point of view and consider this during your decision.

Thanks,

Dear Mr & Mrs

Thank you for your email I am awaiting the closing date and I will reply to all objectors at that time but I have received your complaint and it is logged

Peter Harris MBII

## **Objector 10**

Dear Sir

Re: The Griffin, Plumtree

I wish to make representations regarding the above application.

My fundamental concerns are

1. That the proposed hours and nature of operation, potentially late into the night in the latter part of the week and into the weekend, are excessive for a public house surrounded so closely by domestic accommodation. The potential problem of noise disruption from the proposed late music

---

licence, has been exacerbated by the removal of a long outbuilding which used to separate the Griffin beer garden from Bradmore Lane, and offer protection from noise. This was removed by the previous owners and has not been replaced in the current refurbishment. This is not , therefore, merely a continuation of previous use and needs particular attention and care.

2. That, if granted, the application will result in customer traffic disturbing the village in the early hours. Engine noise and the sound of doors banging, together with the background noise of music, will become the way of life in this small village. Surely this cannot be acceptable.

3.The traffic, and associated noise problem above will become exacerbated by the fact that the car park, now much reduced because of house building, will not be adequate for purpose. Amongst parents of children using the school in the village, a practice of parking cars on Church Lane, Church Hill and Bradmore Lane has developed, often leaving one lane open due to the congestion on what are becoming busy roads. This pattern will inevitably be continued by the users of the Griffin and is inconvenient, inconsiderate and, most of all, dangerous. The parking of cars for use by the public house, particularly at night and into the early hours, will both damage the quality of life in the village, and cause risk to pedestrians and road users alike.

I would ask that you discuss this matter with the Highways Department of the County Council and assess the risk implications of this particular application by the proprietor of the Griffin.

Summary: Given that the village is small, the Griffin can only be financially viable if it is used extensively by people from outside the locality. I believe this application can only result in a proliferation of roadside parking and resultant noise and disruption, in what is already a congested area. In addition, late night music to the proposed degree, can only cause undue inconvenience and nuisance to local residents.

Please could you confirm receipt of this representation and confirm that you have discussed the risks associated with this particular application with the Highways Department.

If you consider that this representation with regards to risk has no validity, would you kindly confirm that you have fully undertaken a risk assessment with the Highways Department and concluded that the risk, particularly late into the night, is not significant.

Please could you forward any replies by email as we are away on holiday for 2 weeks from today.

Your Sincerely

On 25 Jul 2018, at 08:46, Licensing Mailbox <Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk> wrote:

>

>

> Dear Mr

> Thank you for your email , each complaint is judged against the 4 licensing objectives which are

> \* the prevention of crime and disorder.

> \* public safety.

> \* the prevention of public nuisance.

> \* the protection of children from harm.

>

>

> Your objections are mainly on the issues of traffic . I understand your concerns and if these hours are granted and the issue of noise increases beyond the terminal hour, there is a procedure to challenge the licence holder on the operation of the premises and it is well documented under the Licensing Act 2003.

>

> I will however make the applicant aware of your concerns and they may accept certain conditions to reduce noise. These conditions are mainly housekeeping which relate to the times of deliveries and waste collections also the disposal of glass into outside bins. Traffic is not our remit and we have no control over this I would advise you to take this to your parish councillor or borough councillor.

>

> Our consultation partners which are mandatory under the Licensing act 2003 do not include the Highways department, and we do not have any sway on this matter.

>

> Therefore in conclusion to this we are rejecting your objection on the grounds that it does not place any abnormal weight against the licensing objections.

>

>

> Peter Harris

> SeniorLicensing Officer

Dear Mr Harris

I was interested to hear that you are willing to discuss the issue of potential public nuisance with another villager who lives on church hill, but have rejected my concerns immediately.

I would wish to emphasise that my concerns are about public nuisance, and would wish to address the licensing committee on this matter.

Whilst I did speak about traffic and parking issues, I did also raise the issue of noise and nuisance.

There is great anger in the neighbourhood around the public house that the large car park has been sold for individual profit, and the problem of parking and nuisance dumped on the village.

This is exacerbated by the potential public nuisance in later hours if the current application is granted.

May I point out that the applicant told us some months ago that he would use a late licence on 4 or 5 occasions in the year. This has arisen to 20 and is a cause of great concern. There are serious issues at stake here about good faith potentially not being kept, which undermine the value of voluntary discussion.

There are conditions set in the Planning approval which I assume apply alongside the licensing conditions.

These relate to the non use of the outside of the restaurant for food and drink after certain hours and other restrictions.

Please could you reassure me that these continue to apply and that you will implement in full the limitations recommended by the Environmental Health Officer at the time of the granting of Planning Consent.

Secondly, may I reinforce that I do strongly object to the public nuisance implied if the applicants conditions are granted.

Could you advise me when the licence might be considered by elected members.

At one stage some days ago you did offer to talk to the applicant, but I do not believe that I have heard from you. I did explain that I did not wish to make direct contact with the applicant and had hoped that you would follow through your commitment.

Could you advise on this please.

Peter

Thanks for that, but I am anxious not to be a nuisance to, or aggravate, the Applicants.

If you could approach them as you suggested, I would be grateful

Best regards

Sent from my iPad

> On 25 Jul 2018, at 11:17, Licensing Mailbox <Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk> wrote:

>

> Dear Mr

> Thank you for this reply and it is nice to know that the applicants have a channel of communication with you , I feel that you should use that to express your concerns in respect of the premises the concerns re the traffic are more for the parish council / borough councillor to advance with Nottinghamshire County Council.

>

> Peter Harris

>

Dear Mr Harris

Thank you for your most recent note

I am grateful that you have passed my concerns to others and that the applicant wishes to reduce our concerns.

Also, that the Environmental Health Officer has set conditions.

However, there is no indication how the applicant will speak to us, and no clarity here as to what the Environmental Health Officer has set in the way of conditions.

Surely it would be useful to clarify both these issues for local residents in advance of agreeing any licence rather than leave this to “goodwill”, which may wear rather thin once the application is granted.

This is not a light weight matter locally. There is increasing concern and unrest as the full implications of the application are emerging.

For what it is worth, I would suggest that the licensing application decision be deferred to allow for a meeting between the applicant and concerned residents so that we can here what reassurances are proposed by him, and also be made aware of the Environmental Health Officers conditions.

In my view it would be really useful if you also liaised with your Planning colleagues and made sure that all residents knew what conditions had been set as part of the Planning decision to build the restaurant at the Griffin.

I recognise that there are three District Council Departments working on this, (Planning, Environment, Licensing). In the context of one Community or one “Place”, i.e. Plumtree, that you serve, there would be a real chance here to pull together all these recommendations and suggestions and present them properly to us locally. We could then give the applicant an opportunity to reassure us all, against a backdrop of a common and full understanding of the present conditions and safeguards that will apply.

If this was possible, I am sure some of us would be happy to ask the Parish Council to host a proper and open discussion to which, I am certain, the applicant could be made very welcome. I believe him to be a well meaning local man, eager to get a good facility going in the village, but we have a communications and information gap at the moment.

Failing that I would wish to clarify my concerns about Potential Public Nuisance, public safety and child safety.

Firstly, due to late night music, there will be a noise impact on houses along, or close to , Bradmore Lane and the cross roads with Main Street and Church Hill.

You are aware that when the Griffin was previously in use as a Public House ,it had a storage building running alongside the side of Bradmore Lane. This building meant that the public house beer garden was , to some degree, insulated from the rest of the village.

The fact that this building has been knocked down and not replaced, leaves the Bradmore Lane Side of the Griffin site devoid of what would have been an effective noise barrier, creating the risk of unacceptable noise , particularly late at night. There will be a noise disturbance which, I doubt, can be effectively mitigated to an acceptable level.

The proposed potential frequency of late night use will be a blight in the quality of life of the village, and I consider that we justify a more protective finishing time across the week and at weekends. The proposed hours and frequency of late night opening and music are not those of a village pub hemmed in by building all around it. I recognise that some villagers wanted the pub to re-open, but not on the current proposed basis.

You have previously said that parking is not a licensing issue, but I am certain that you can, legally, take the impact of traffic noise and car doors banging into account. I have set out these concerns in a previous note. Late night noise due to the movement of customers and vehicles will damage the quality of life of this small village.

The next objection relates to public safety. Against a background of an inadequately sized car park, there will be parking across the whole immediate area into the late night if the licence is granted on the basis of the current application. Parking in narrow roads, and on pavements on unlit roads, is a public safety issue and not just an issue for the Highways Department.

I have no doubt that if the current application is passed you will increase the risk to pedestrians and road users alike. Bradmore Lane and Church Hill are busy roads nowadays and cannot safely cope with becoming the replacement car park for the Griffin.

I recognise that you do not like this latter point, but I request that it be placed before elected members as a real public safety issue.

Finally, I raise the objection that this application is a risk to children because of the issues raised in the above paragraph. Pavements are for family use, not car parks.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above issues.

I am sorry that I have not been able to take up your kind offer of a telephone conversation as we are away on holiday until the middle of next week.

Best Regards

## **Objector 11**

Dear Dave

Thanks for your time today

We are writing to object to the proposed hours of the licence as I discussed with you

We feel that to have trading hours to 12 and 1 am is too long. This is a small village. The pub is in the centre of the village surrounded by houses.

To have loud live bands and DJs at this time will create public nuisance. The noise from people outside and in side shouting etc drinking and singing also constitutes a public nuisance.

This will be worse in summer with windows open ....

When people are leaving after closing time in a 'happy state' they have to get to their cars ... which will be parked in the village as the car park is too small ... This will be noisy with cars slamming, engines revving, shouting etc. This will be a public nuisance.



Moreover with people leaving in groups after events there could be disorderly behaviour in the village The pub is on a cross road so if everyone is leaving at this time of the morning there is a safety issue with cars , taxis and people waiting for buses all outside the pub . There are 2 bus stops opposite the pub and next to the pub so,there will be people coming in and out from town ...once leaving at 1am they will be waiting at bus stops creating noise , shouting etc ....

Noise carries so this again has the potential for nuisance and disorder they will be in the village waiting for the next bus which will be well after 1 am... So in effect the noise and people (all here because of the pub and its licence )will be in the village long after 12 on a week night and long after 1am at weekends

These hours suggest the Griffin will be a destination location i.e people coming from outside the village -this means a lot of cars ,taxis etc ... Horns tooting again nuisance and disorder ...people wandering the village , litter Look at what has happened in west bridford ! We have supported the pub through the planning process but now feel this in not going to be a village pub we expected but will be transformed into a destination pub ... Cheap for groups to get to as there is no parking charges .... With all the associated problems of a city pub of public nuisance and disorder

Happy to discuss further  
Plumtree Nottingham Sent from my iPad

Dear Mr & Mrs

Thank you for your email I have to judge your email on the four Licensing objectives

- The prevention of crime and disorder
- Public Safety
- The prevention of public nuisance
- The protection of children from harm

I feel even though you have told me that your perception is that noise will increase by bands and drunken people, I understand the issues of a hot summer as we are in the midst of that now, but we have not had a rise in complaints of noise. Transportation is not taken into account by licensing act. For a premises like this we can ask the owner to have in place a last entry time so it does not become a late night destination premises after 11pm, but these are a number of conditions currently the police have not commented on the new application also neither has our Environmental Health Officers. I will attach this web page

<http://licensingaid.org.uk/2003act/introduction.html> this web site gives you an insight into how to word your objection and I need evidence that would support your claims.

The perception of a problem becomes difficult to defend in front of the panel, this premises had a late night licence three years ago till 1am have you any evidence from that time which can help you.

I have reviewed your objection and feel that you would be better served by asking for a condition to be added to the license before being granted, I would hope you can look at the web site and return to me either by phone or email, I do class your objection under public nuisance. Can you please contact me before the 2nd of August 2018, I am off on a course on the Thursday but can pick up any reply by email during the day.

Peter Harris

Dear Peter

Many thanks for your time on the phone

As I stated I wish to be fair and reasonable in my observations and have been supportive of the new development of The Griffin.

I do feel that most people have not seen the notices for reasons we discussed and so are not aware of the new application . In talking to people I sense concern about the application not only the proposed hours but the lack of visibility of the notices . This has lead to a feeling a lack of communication,representation and due process .

That being said as we live in the vicinity of the pub ( we already hear the construction work ) We wish to object under public nuisance . We are not in favour of the hours of 1am on Friday and Saturday 11 /12 is acceptable with some exceptions eg New Years Eve .

As this is an application based on a new concept and one which will be a different customer proposition compared to the historical offering - one which I think will be very successful . There is logically going to be more people ,cars and taxis using a smaller car park than previously . The numbers and associated problems will not therefore not be confined to the vicinity of the pub as in the past but will be present through the village as people will be parking throughout the village eg up,church hill .

The bus stops outside people's house with the late night buses running at weekends until 3 am will mean more people can access the location in the early hours and so when leaving will cause noise walking past their houses .

It is hard to evidence base the increased nuisance as it cannot be compared to the previous offering as the pub was not very nice and so no one went to it ... Hence its decline over many years and its subsequent closure . Moreover the proposed outside and inside areas are different . It will be like comparing apples and pears

The previous landlords did not have the same number of events so this is an increase compared to the past .

All in all logically therefore there is an increased probability of numbers and therefore nuisance . ( Please see attached note for other thoughts as I do not want to duplicate)

Having read the application I would also request some additional clauses

Door staff need to be in place on Fridays and weekends and for events to prevent disorder and nuisance

All live music to be off at 11 with some exceptions eg new year

Windows to be closed to prevent noise ... Soundproofing to be installed

Events in Griffin not to be held on same day and time as the cricket club to control parking and nuisance

Events to give at least a weeks notice to allow for door staff

No entry after 11pm

No events on subsequent nights

I am now going to be away until next week ... I will try to get to Internet but may be patchy

I will be copying in the parish councillors and Debbie Mason to keep them informed

Kind regards

Plumtree NG12